

The 10 RashiYomi Rules

Their presence in Rashis in BeShaLaCh

Vol. 26#24 - Adapted from **Rashi-is-Simple**

(c) RashiYomi Incorporated, Dr. Hendel President, Feb. 4th, 2017

For the full copyright statement see the Appendix

Useful URLs:

Rashiyomi Website: <http://www.RashiYomi.Com>
This week's issue: <http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule2624.pdf>
Former week's issue: <http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule.htm>
Old weekly Rashis: <http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule.htm>
Rashi short e-course: <http://www.Rashiyomi.com/RashiShortGuideHTMLBook.htm>
<http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule.htm>
Hebrew-English Rashi: http://www.chabad.org/library/bible_cdo/aid/63255/jewish/The-Bible-with-Rashi.htm

GOALS

The goal of the Weekly Rashi Digest is to use the weekly Torah portion to expose students at all levels to the ten major methods of Rashi's commentary. Continual weekly exposure to these ten major methods facilitate the acquisition, familiarity, and facility with the major exegetical methods.

Hi

This year I am focusing on parallelism, that is, those Rashis that can be derived from tabular representations of verses.

I will attempt to send out the Parshah over a week in advance.

Special treat today, Parshat BeShaLaCh. A complete analysis of the 4-sons of the Passover Haggadah. A must to save for Passover.

Russell Jay Hendel, President, Rashiyomi

As usual, when making transitions in the Rashi Newsletter we welcome positive and negative comments as well as requests. Please send all comments to RashiYomi@GMail.Com.

Subscribe / Unsubscribe: Email

Verse Code	Verse text	Rashi Rule	Rashi Subrule	Rashi Rule code	Derivation of Rashi Comment From Biblical Text Using the Rashi Rule and Subrule
------------	------------	------------	---------------	-----------------	---

Database *Daily Rashi* Ex13-5d:8a,b:9a:14b Sun-Thur 2/5-2/9/17

SUNDAY:

Background: Everyone is familiar with the famous passage from the Passover Haggadah, *The Torah speaks about 4 tendencies in children: wisdom, cynicism, simplicity and apathy*. This famous passage occurs in the Jerusalem Talmud and the Mechiltah, a midrashic compilation on Exodus. Notice the three underlined words in the italic citation: tendencies, cynicism and citation.

Two of these translations are due to Rabbi Dr. Soloveitchick. So let me share with you what he said (We will then derive it from the verses).

- The usual translation is *the son who can't ask*. But if that is the case, the *simple* son and the son *who can't ask* are the same. It doesn't make sense that the Torah would speak about four children two of whom are the same.

Fortunately, in Hebrew, the word *know* also means *care* and *appreciate*. Consider the Biblical *know* which refers to *appreciation* and *caring* not cognitive knowledge. Thus, we translate, *who can't ask* as *who doesn't care to ask*, *apathetic*.

- Let us probe deeper. Are there only four types of children. Aren't there an infinite variety of children. I therefore suggest that the Torah was not listing children types but children tendencies. That is the Torah advises us that each child has some type of mixture of these four tendencies, *wisdom*, *simplicity*, *cynicism*, *apathy*. So a particular child may have wisdom in thinking, but simplicity in social matters, and may have mixtures of *apathy* and *cynicism* towards certain types of authority. The child is understood as a *mixture* of these tendencies.
- The translation *cynicism* instead of *wicked* is due to me. Why? Because the verses seem to say it. See below

MONDAY

Biblical Text: We present below the four biblical verses discussing the requirement to tell children about the Exodus on Passover. For each biblical text we mention four parameters. These parameters will be used to classify the child type.

- Is singular vs plural language used?
- Is there a question asked?
- What word designates the question: ask or say?
- What words does the child use to describe laws?

Verse	Son Type	Text of Verse	Ask	Words	He / They
Dt06-20:21	<i>Wise</i>	<i>And when your son, when <u>he asks</u> you in time to come, saying, What do the <u>testimonies, and the statutes, and the civil ordinances</u> mean, which the Lord our God has commanded you? Then you shall say to your son, We were Pharaoh's slaves in Egypt; and the Lord brought us out of Egypt with a mighty hand</i>	asks	testimony, statutes, judgments	he
Ex12-26:27	<i>Cynical</i>	<i>And it shall come to pass, when your children, when <u>they shall say</u> to you, What do you mean by this <u>service</u>? That you shall say, It is the sacrifice of the Lord's Passover, who passed over the houses of the people of Israel in Egypt, when he struck the Egyptians, and saved our houses.</i>	say	service	they
Ex13-08:09	<i>Apathetic</i>	<i>And you shall tell your son, <u>him</u>, in that day, saying, This is done because of that which the Lord did to me when I came forth out of Egypt. And it shall be for a sign to you upon your hand</i>			him
Ex13-14	<i>Simple</i>	<i>And it shall be when your son, when <u>he asks</u> you in the future, saying, What is <u>this</u>? Then you shall say to him, "By strength of hand the Lord brought us out from Egypt, from the house of slavery;"</i>	asks	this	he

Using this table we see the following two dimensions:

- **Respect-cynicism:** This is indicated by *saying* vs. *asking* vs. *apathy* (silence)
- **Wisdom-simplicity:** This is seen by the choice of words indicating expertise in the subject matter of Jewish law. A child who uses pronouns *this* is simple; a child who uses detailed words like *service, testimonies, statutes and civil ordinances* is wise.

Using these dimensions we can classify the children types as follows:

- **Wise son** - i) Wisdom as indicated by detailed words + ii) Respect
- **Cynical son (Wicked)** - ii) Wisdom as indicated by detailed words + ii) cynicism (says, vs asks, question)
- **Apathetic son (Who can't ask)** -i) Lack of wisdom (no question) +ii) lack of respect (as indicated by no interest in questioning)
- **Simple son** - i) Lack of wisdom indicated by use of pronoun, *this*, + ii) respect

In my article, *The Educational Pedagogy of the Four Sons*, **Shofar**, Summer 2004, 22(4), I show that the Bible proposes a student two-dimensional personality model similar to the four-dimensional personality model of Myers-Briggs. The Myers-Briggs personality model is widely used in the workplace and gives rise to 16 personality types. The Biblical student personality model is two-dimensional and gives rise to four student types. You may access the above article at www.Rashiyomi.com/thefoursons.pdf

TUESDAY

Contribution of the Rashi Newsletter: The Rashi Newsletter contributes to the five Rashis on the four sons by explaining that Rashi was using the **Database method** which seeks **parallelism** between multiple verses. These parallelisms create nuances which indicate student-type. Thus, for example:

- Two of the children *ask* their question; one child does not ask; one child (cynically) *says* his question. Upon seeing such a distinction one naturally is led to classifying the children either as respectfully asking, cynically saying, or apathetically not asking.
- Similarly, a comparison of the four children shows different levels in use of words indicating laws. We know today that one of the strong attributes of subject matter experts is the wealth of language available. Thus the children using detailed words like *service*, *testimony*, *statutes*, *civil ordinances* are classified as wise; the child using *this* is classified as simple.

One notices that the wicked/cynical son is the only son who uses the plural vs the singular: *when they ask*. The Bible is indicating that one of the problems of the wicked son is their belonging to a group that influences them.

WEDNESDAY

We have left to do two things: Discuss the Rashi text and discuss the language of the Passover Haggadah. Here are the points in the Rashi text:

- Rashi identifies the above four verses as describing the four sons
- Rashi identifies the texts above as referring to the *wicked, who can't ask / who doesn't care to ask, simple and wise son*. (Notice: I have changed *wicked* to *cynical* to be more consistent with the biblical *says his question*. However, Rashi followed the language of the Jerusalem Talmud and Mechiltah.
- Rashi spends time on the apathetic son. Rashi identifies the biblical approach to respond to the apathetic son as outreach through symbolic means. For example Rashi interprets the biblical *because of this God did for me when I left Egypt* to refer to the *passover lamb, the matzoh and the bitter herbs* which are on the table. Here Rashi uses the **Meaning-Pronoun** method: Whenever the word *this* occurs Rashi says it refers to some specific pointing, here it points to what is on the table. Notice that what is pointed to - the Passover lamb, the matzoh and the bitter herbs - are all symbolic commandments. Also, notice that Rashi interprets the biblical *And it shall be for a sign on your hand* to refer to the Tefillin which contains the story of the Exodus. Thus we see that Rashi interprets the response to the apathetic son as referring to outreach through symbolic commandments.
- Rashi also makes some points on responses to the other children. Instead of focusing on them we shall explain the Haggadah text.

THURSDAY

The Haggadah Text: It is well-known that the Haggadah text does as follows:

- Simple son: The Haggadah advises to respond to him with the Biblical answer: *With a strong hand God took us out of Egypt*.
- Apathetic son: The Haggadah advises to respond to him by *opening up to him*. This is consistent with the gentle symbolic approach in the Biblical text that we outlined above.

So far so good. But three well-known problems emerge with the other two sons.

- (1) Wicked son - The Haggadah identifies him as the wicked son because they ask *what is this service to you*. The Haggadah continues *to you* vs. *to us*. If he had been there, he would not have been redeemed.

But this creates a problem. The wise son also says *What are the testimonies, statutes and civil ordinances that God has commanded you*. So the wise son and the wicked son both use the

pronoun *to you*. Why is one son called/classified as wicked but the other is not?

However, this problem goes away when we inspect the Table at the beginning of this Digest. The wicked son chapter is in the plural reflecting his belonging to a group that corrupts him. Thus, when the Haggadah focuses on the word *to you* the emphasis is *not on the word itself* but rather *on the grammatical category of the word, the plural*. In other words, the Haggadah classifies him as wicked because of the cynical group to whom he belongs.

- (2) What about the answer to the Wicked son: *Tell him because of this God did to me when we left Egypt, to me and to you, if you had been there you wouldn't have been redeemed*. But the underlined verse comes from the chapter on the apathetic son. What is it doing with the wicked son chapter?

But this is not a problem if we recall the two-dimensional analysis we gave. Both the wicked and apathetic son belong to the *cynical* category. So the verses in each of them are shared and apply to each other. Let us use parallelism on the verses in the wicked and apathetic chapters. The parallelism is summarized in the table below.

Ex12-27	Wicked (cynical)	<i>When God smote the Egyptians</i>	<i>And saved us</i>
Ex13-08	Apathetic (who doesn't ask)		<i>God did for me when I left Egypt</i>

Notice how the wicked verse has two parts: i) smote Egyptian and ii) saved us while the apathetic-verse has only one part ii) God saved me. So again: The Haggadah should not be interpreted literally as referring to *us vs you* but rather in the wicked verse as seen by the parallelism, there is an added emphasis, not present in the apathetic verse, *saved us* but *smote the Egyptians*. This is also Rashi's comment on **Ex13-08**: *We have a hint here to the response to the wicked*. The hint is that one half of the two - part verse is absent. In summary: The wicked and apathetic sons share cynicism: To both sons we must emphasize *God saved me/us*. However, we also address the group of the wicked son and explain that by belonging to another group he forfeits his right to be saved.

One can still protest: But the Haggadah does not say that. It seems to mix up responses to the apathetic son with the response to the wicked son. The response to this is the language used by the Haggadah: When the response verse cited is in the chapter of that son the Haggadah simply cites the verse. But when the response verse cited belongs to another son's chapter the Haggadah introduces this verse with the phrase *you also tell him (af atah omer lo)*. The phrase *you also tell him* indicates that *besides* the biblical answer, *also* cite verses from the apathetic son (which we can do since the apathetic and cynical share cynicism).

- (3) This also explains why the response of the Haggadah to the wise son uses the biblical response to the wicked son: *This is a passover sacrifice*. The Haggadah says *teach the wise son the laws of Passover (until) you do not eat any Passover meat after the afikoman is*

eaten. We have already explained that both the wise son and wicked son belong to the wisdom children since both use detailed words in their questions: *service* (wicked), *testimonies, statutes, civil ordinances*.

And as indicated above, the Haggadah introduces the answer with the keywords *you also*. So the Haggadah says *you also answer him and tell him that we don't consume Passover after the afikoman*. In other words, *besides the biblical answer, you also cite from the answer to the wicked /cynical son who shares wisdom with the wise son*.

FRIDAY

Summary: A quick review and summary:

- We used the **Rashi Database** method to analyze the four children chapters in terms of *word details, ask-say, plural-singular*. This gives rise to a two-dimensional student personality classification. Refer to the 2 tables at the top of this digest for this summary.
- The Haggadah uses both the Biblical questions and answers of the sons proper as well as the sons belonging to the same category. It uses the phrase *you also* to indicate that it is selecting phrases from another son who shares attributes with the given son.
- Rashi / Haggadah use words like *to you* and *for me* to indicate broad categories like *plural-singular* and *parallelism* (*smite Egyptian vs. save us*). Thus we must hear the Rashi / Haggadah citations as referring to broad categories.
- Finally, there is considerable emphasis that outreach to the apathetic son is done through an emphasis on symbolic commandments.

The take away from this is how we approach education. Every child should be analyzed according to the two dimensions of respect-cynicism and details-simplicity. Such an analysis helps the teacher understand the child and help him or her grow. We especially note the example of the Lubavitcher Rebbe who in his enormous outreach efforts always emphasized the symbolic, such as Tefillin, Lulav, and the Chanukah Menorah. This is fully consistent with the Biblical approach and perhaps explains his success.

THE 10 RASHI RULE CATEGORIES / THE 30 RASHIRULE

Copyright 2001, RashiYomi Inc., Dr Hendel President, www.RashiYomi.com/rules-01.htm

NOTE ON COPYRIGHTS:

*This particular appendix, like many portions of the RashiYomi website, are protected by a paid copyright. However, we clarify that the intent of RashiYomi copyright statements is the intent expressed in the creative commons copyright statement, the full statement of which may be found at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/legalcode> and the human readable summary which may be found at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>. The basic intent is: (1) **(by)** any citation of RashiYomi explanations, rules etc should acknowledge the RashiYomi website as the author by giving its URL: <http://www.RashiYomi.com> (or the specific page on the website); (2)*

(nc) It is prohibited for anyone to use the material on this website for commercial use, that is to derive monetary gain from it; (3) (sa) while people are encouraged to cite paragraphs of explanations from Rashiyomi in their own works, they must share their works in a similar manner under the creative commons agreement, cc by nc sa version 3.0; they must cite the urls for the Rashiyomi website and the creative commons website. In short our intention is to facilitate distribution of Torah educational material and not inhibit that distribution with monetary interests or lack of acknowledgement. For precise legal details see the URLs cited earlier. The contents of this paragraph govern all future uses of Rashiyomi material and take precedence (or clarify and explain) already existing copyrights as well as permissions given in private emails.

=====
I-REFERENCE: Dt26-05d We went down to Egypt with a few people explained by Gn46-27: with 70 people
=====

II-MEANING / Lexicography / Dictionary: EXAMPLE (Connectives) KI means IF,PERHAPS,RATHER,BECAUSE,WHEN,THAT (Rashi on Gn18-15a Gn24-33a) **EXAMPLE (Nuances):** YDA means FAMILIAR, not KNOW (eg Dt34-10a) eg Gn04-01 Adam was FAMILIAR with his wife **EXAMPLE (Idioms)** ON THE FACE OF means DURING THE LIFETIME (Rashi on Nu03-04a Gn11-28a Ex20-03c Dt05-07a) **EXAMPLE (Synonyms)** *Marchesheth* means pot; *Machavath* means frying pan (Lv02-05a, 07a) **EXAMPLE (Hononyms)** SHAMAH can mean listen, hear, understand: (Gn42-23a) *They didn't appreciate that Joseph understood them* (Note: They knew he was listening) **EXAMPLE (Metonymy)** (Lv02-11a) *Don't offer ...any honey as sacrifices* RASHI: *honey* includes any sweet fruit juice
=====

III-GRAMMAR: EXAMPLE: BA-ah means CAME;ba-AH means COMING(Gn46-26a)
EXAMPLE: Hitpael conjugation has different rules if 1st root letter is Tzade (Gn44-16a)
=====

IV-PARALLELISM: (Ex20-04) Dont **POSSESS** the gods of others Dont **MAKE** idols RASHI: So both **POSSESSion & MAKING** of idols are prohibited
=====

V-CONTRADICTION: (Nu04-03, Nu08-24a)Levites start Temple work at 25; Levites start temple work at 30. RASHI: They apprentice at 25 but start actual service at 30.
=====

VI-STYLE: RABBI ISHMAEL RULES: EXAMPLE: (Simple verses should be generalized): (Rashi Pesachim 6) (Dt25-04a) Dont **MUZZLE** an OX while **THRESHING** RASHI: Dont **STOP** any **WORKING ANIMAL** from eating
=====

VII-FORMATTING: EXAMPLE (BOLD indicated by Repetition): Ex12-09c) **COOK COOK** it in water (So **COOKED-COOKED** is understood the same way bold is understood by modern reader) RASHI: Preferred to **COOK** it in water; But **COOK** it at all costs(Even if you don't have water) **EXAMPLE: (BULLETS indicated by Repeating keywords)** (Ex03-11a) Who am I - **THAT** I should go to Pharaoh - **THAT** I should take the Jews out of Egypt RASHI: Repeated word **THAT** creates **BULLET** effect - Pharaoh was a difficult king (Bullet one) - Jews were not yet ready for freedom (Bullet two) **EXAMPLE (Climax assumed in any Biblical list):** (Dt19-11a) *If a man HATES, SPIES, CONFRONTS & KILLS.* RASHI: Bible identifies 4 stages to murder(indicated by capped words)
=====

VIII-DATABASE: EXAMPLE: *God spoke to Moses to say over* introduces about 7 dozen biblical commandments; *God spoke to Aaron to say over* only introduces 2 commandments. RASHI: (Lv10-03b) Aaron was silent when his sons died because they served in the Temple drunk; hence he merited that the commandment prohibiting priests to work in the Temple drunk, was given to him
=====

IX-NON VERSE: EXAMPLE: (Use of Algebra)(Ex38-26b) *Temple donations of silver were 100 Kikar and 1775 Shekel from 630,550 half-shekels* RASHI: So one Kikar of silver = 3000 Shekel.
=====

X: SYMBOLISM: EXAMPLE: (Use of puns) Moses made a copper snake for people to look up to when bitten by snakes (so they should pray and recover) RASHI: (Nu21-09a) The Hebrew root for copper and snake are identical (Cf. The English *copperhead*) Moses made the metal snake copper colored to symbolize the snake